

2015 Updating and Screening Assessment for West Lothian Council

In fulfillment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management

26 June, 2015





Local Authority Officer	Paul Matassa/David Brewster
Department	Environmental Health
	West Lothian Council
	Civic Centre
Addroop	Howden Road South
Address	Livingston
	West Lothian
	EH54 6FF
Telephone	01506 280000
e-mail	environmentalhealth@westlothian.gov.uk
Report Reference	
number	
Date	June 2015

Executive Summary

This report is the 2015 Updating and Screening Assessment undertaken in accordance with West Lothian Council's statutory obligation under the National Air Quality Strategy.

The report considers measured pollutant concentrations from within West Lothian for the calendar year 2014 and considers the potential for exceedences of Air Quality Objectives as a result of new or significantly changed local emission sources.

Measured NO₂ concentrations across the Council area in 2014 were typically lower than those measured in 2013. Measured PM_{10} concentrations at Newton have increased to further exceed the annual mean concentration objective while Linlithgow High Street has a PM_{10} annual mean concentration exactly equal to the objective level. The Detailed Assessment already started for Newton and Linlithgow will be completed during 2015. Measured PM_{10} within the Broxburn Air Quality Monitoring Area (AQMA) increased to just below the annual mean objective. The Broxburn AQMA action planning process will continue due to the degree of planned development in the area, traffic from which will directly affect the AQMA.

Table of contents

1	Intro	duction	7
	1.1	Description of Local Authority Area	7
	1.2	Purpose of Report	7
	1.3	Air Quality Objectives	
	1.4	Summary of Previous Review and Assessments	
2	New	Monitoring Data	12
	2.1	Summary of Monitoring Undertaken	12
	2.1.1	Automatic Monitoring Sites	12
	2.1.2	Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites	14
	2.2	Comparison of Monitoring Results with Air Quality Objectives	17
	2.2.1	Nitrogen Dioxide	
	2.2.2	PM ₁₀	29
	2.2.3	Sulphur Dioxide	
	2.2.4	Benzene	
	2.2.5	Other pollutants monitored	
	2.2.6	Summary of Compliance with AQS Objectives	
3	Road	d Traffic Sources	37
	3.1	Narrow Congested Streets with Residential Properties Close to the Kerb	37
	3.2	Busy Streets Where People May Spend 1-hour or More Close to Traffic	
	3.3	Roads with a High Flow of Buses and/or HGVs	
	3.4	Junctions	
	3.5	New Roads Constructed or Proposed Since the Last Round of Review and	
	Asses	sment	
	3.6	Roads with Significantly Changed Traffic Flows	
	3.7	Bus and Coach Stations	
4	Othe	r Transport Sources	39
	4.1	Airports	
	4.2	Railways (Diesel and Steam Trains)	
	4.2.1	Stationary Trains	39
	4.2.2	Moving Trains	39
	4.3	Ports (Shipping)	39
5	Indu	strial Sources	40
	5.1	Industrial Installations	40
	5.1.1	New or Proposed Installations for which an Air Quality Assessment has been	
	Carried (Out	40
	5.1.2	Existing Installations where Emissions have Increased Substantially or New	
	Relevant	t Exposure has been Introduced	40

	5.1.3	New or Significantly Changed Installations with No Previous Air Quality	
	Assess	ment	40
	5.2	Major Fuel (Petrol) Storage Depots	41
	5.3	Petrol Stations	41
	5.4	Poultry Farms	41
6	Con	nmercial and Domestic Sources	42
	6.1	Biomass Combustion – Individual Installations	42
	6.2	Biomass Combustion – Combined Impacts	42
	6.3	Domestic Solid-Fuel Burning	42
7	Fug	itive or Uncontrolled Sources	43
8	Con	clusions and Proposed Actions	44
	8.1	Conclusions from New Monitoring Data	
	8.2	Conclusions from Assessment of Sources	45
	8.3	Proposed Actions	45
9	Ref	erences	46

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose of LAQM in Scotland
Table 2.1	Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites
Table 2.2	Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites
Table 2.3	Results of Automatic Monitoring for NO ₂ : Comparison with Annual Mean Objective
Table 2.4	Results of Automatic Monitoring for NO_2 : Comparison with 1-hour Mean Objective
Table 2.5	Results of NO ₂ Diffusion Tubes 2014
Table 2.6	Results of NO ₂ Diffusion Tubes (2010 to 2014)
Table 2.7	Results of Automatic Monitoring for PM ₁₀ : Comparison with Annual Mean Objective
Table 2.8	Results of Automatic Monitoring for PM ₁₀ : Comparison with 24-hour Mean Objective

List of Figures

- Figure 1.1 Map of AQMA Boundary
- Figure 2.1 Map of Monitoring Sites
- Figure 2.3 Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites

- Figure 2.4 Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations (bias adjusted) Measured at Roadside Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites with historic data
- Figure 2.5 Trends in Annual Mean PM₁₀ Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites

Appendices

- Appendix A QA/QC
- Appendix B Maps
- Appendix C Diffusion Tube co-location sites bias calculations

1 Introduction

1.1 Description of Local Authority Area

West Lothian is situated between Edinburgh and the Borders to the east, Falkirk, and North Lanarkshire to the west and South Lanarkshire to the south with the Firth of Forth to the north. The region rises from the lowlands in the north and northeast to the Pentland Hills in the southeast and moor land in the south and west. Its 428 sq. km (165 sq. miles) are mainly used for agriculture or urban development. The major source of air pollution is from road traffic with several main roads including the M8, M9, A89 and A71 passing east-west through the district. Industrial sources of air pollution in West Lothian are relatively scarce and are mostly situated in designated industrial areas away from relevant receptors.

1.2 Purpose of Report

This report fulfils the requirements of the Local Air Quality Management process as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995), the Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland 2007 and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents. The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where exceedences are considered likely, the local authority must then declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives.

The objective of this Updating and Screening Assessment is to identify any matters that have changed which may lead to risk of an air quality objective being exceeded. A checklist approach and screening tools are used to identify significant new sources or changes and whether there is a need for a Detailed Assessment. The USA report should provide an update of any outstanding information requested previously in Review and Assessment reports.

1.3 Air Quality Objectives

The air quality objectives applicable to LAQM **in Scotland** are set out in the Air Quality (Scotland) Regulations 2000 (Scottish SI 2000 No 97), the Air Quality (Scotland) Amendment Regulations 2002 (Scottish SI 2002 No 297), and are shown in Table 1.1. This table shows the objectives in units of microgrammes per cubic metre μ g/m³ (milligrammes per cubic metre, mg[/]m³ for carbon monoxide) with the number of exceedences in each year that are permitted (where applicable).

	Air Quality	Date to be	
Pollutant	Concentration	Measured as	achieved by
Bonzono	16.25 μg/m ³	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
Delizene	3.25 μg/m ³	Running annual mean	31.12.2010
1,3-Butadiene	2.25 µg/m ³	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
Carbon monoxide	10.0 mg/m ³	Running 8-hour mean	31.12.2003
	0.5 µg/m ³	Annual mean	31.12.2004
Lead	0.25 µg/m ³	Annual mean	31.12.2008
Nitrogen dioxide	200 µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2005
	40 µg/m ³	Annual mean	31.12.2005
Particles (PM ₁₀) (gravimetric)	50 μg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 7 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2010
	18 µg/m³	Annual mean	31.12.2010
	350 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2004
Sulphur dioxide	125 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2004
	266 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean	31.12.2005

Table 1.1 Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose ofLAQM in Scotland

1.4 Summary of Previous Review and Assessments

Report Type	Report Date	Outcomes
Review and Assessment Stages 1 and 2	October 2000	 Prescribed air quality objectives are all likely to be achieved. Recommended that current air quality monitoring work in West Lothian be continued.
Updating and Screening Assessment	June 2003	 Objectives for both NO₂ and PM₁₀ currently being met. To continue monitoring in worst-case situations including locations close to busy roads. No need for Detailed Assessment of Benzene, however consideration to be given for monitoring at petrol stations at Deer Park and Lizzie Bryce roundabouts. No need for further monitoring of 1,3-Butadiene and Lead. No need for Detailed Assessment of CO or SO₂
Progress Report	2004	 No Detailed Assessments required for any pollutant. Benzene to be monitored at Lizzie Bryce petrol station.
Progress Report	2005	 Groundhog moved to Cairnie Place, Whitburn from 31/01/2005 NO₂ analyser problems, low data capture. One exceedence of 24-hour mean PM₁₀ due to elevated background. No Detailed Assessments required for any pollutant.
Updating and Screening Assessment	July 2006	 No exceedences of any pollutant therefore no requirement to proceed to a Detailed Assessments for any pollutant.
Progress Report	April 2007	 No exceedences of any pollutant therefore no requirement to proceed to a Detailed Assessments for any pollutant. Monitoring of Benzene to cease due to low measured concentrations. PM₁₀ very close to objective in Linlithgow. Automatic monitoring of NO₂ proposed for

		Broxburn.
Progress Report	March 2008	 No exceedences of any pollutant therefore no requirement to proceed to a Detailed Assessments for any pollutant. Automatic monitoring of NO₂ and PM₁₀ proposed for Broxburn. Upgrade to FDMS planned at Linlithgow
Updating and Screening Assessment	September 2009	 No exceedences of any of the pollutants objective values. Real time monitoring to continue in Linlithgow and Broxburn. PM₁₀ concentration at Linlithgow close to objective with elevated levels measured at Broxburn. NO₂ diffusion tubes to be deployed in West Calder Main Street for Detailed Assessment. A poultry farm was identified as requiring a Detailed Assessment. Further traffic surveys required to assess identified busy roads/junctions using DMRB. Mobile monitoring station (Groundhog) to be relocated to Uphall Station. Osiris units measuring particulates to be deployed at various locations throughout West Lothian.
Progress Report	June 2010	 Poultry farm detailed assessment not required per Scottish Government advice. Broxburn exceeded annual PM₁₀ objective, detailed assessment to be undertaken
Progress Report	July 2011	 Groundhog relocated to Whitburn Cross providing data from 08/02/10. Monitoring at Broxburn continued to exhibit an exceedence (21µg/m³) of the PM₁₀ annual objective. A Detailed Assessment (DA) was commissioned. A diffusion tube survey was also undertaken in Broxburn in 2010 which highlighted elevated concentrations of NO₂ levels. Potential for an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) to be declared for PM₁₀ and potentially NO₂. Monitoring at Linlithgow High Street in 2010 highlighted a reduction in PM₁₀

		 was experienced. Measured concentrations in Linlithgow identified to be close to exceedence of PM₁₀ annual objective level, continuing trend. It was therefore considered that a DA should be undertaken. A diffusion tube survey for NO₂ in West Calder was undertaken as recommended in the 2009 Progress Report. The levels of concentration were well below the annual objective level. The survey continued through 2011.
Updating and Screening Assessment	October 2012	 Monitoring at Broxburn continued to exhibit exceedences of both the PM₁₀ and NO₂ annual objectives. Broxburn DA recommended AQMA declaration for PM₁₀ and NO₂ annual objectives. Broxburn AQMA declared 29/03/11 Linlithgow DA recommended AQMA for annual PM₁₀ objective. Declaration to be postponed until analysers moved to worst case position.
Progress Report	March 2014 (2013 Report)	 Monitoring at Broxburn continued to exhibit exceedence of NO₂ annual objectives. The AQMA is to remain. Diffusion tubes in Linlithgow High Street indicated NO₂ exceedence. To go to Detailed Assessment. Automatic monitoring station in Linlithgow High Street moved to worst case (canyon) location (October 2013)
Progress Report	June 2014	 Linlithgow High Street 2 (new canyon site) showing elevated levels for 3 months in operation Newton PM₁₀ exceedence of annual objective Linlithgow and Newton to proceed to Detailed Assessments Broxburn below objective levels therefor AQMA to be reviewed in 2015 USA

Figure 1.1 Map(s) of AQMA Boundaries (if applicable)

Broxburn AQMA boundary is detailed in Figure 1.1 in Appendix B

2 New Monitoring Data

During 2014 West Lothian Council monitored both PM₁₀ and NO₂ at several locations throughout the Council area using both automatic and passive sampling methods.

All automatic monitoring PM₁₀ and NO₂ data have been fully ratified by Ricardo-AEA on behalf of the Scottish Government (detailed in <u>Appendix A</u>). Diffusion tube data have been corrected using the 2014 average bias adjustment factor for the laboratory and method used (detailed in <u>Appendix C</u>). Details of the quality control and data correction are reported in <u>Appendix A</u>.

2.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

West Lothian Council monitor PM_{10} and NO_2 using a combination of automatic analysers and passive diffusion tubes (PDT). The automatic monitoring sites are presented in <u>Table 2.1</u> and the details of non-automatic monitoring sites are presented in <u>Table 2.2</u>.

2.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

During 2014 the Council operated three real time automatic analysers. The new unit installed in 2013 (<u>Linlithgow High Street 2</u>) continues to operate in a canyon area at the east end of Linlithgow High Street while the two other automatic analysers continued to operate at <u>Broxburn East Main Street (CMC)</u> and <u>Newton Main Street (CMC)</u>.

Figure 2.1 Map of Automatic Monitoring Sites

All monitoring site locations are detailed in Figure 2.1 Appendix B

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Monitoring Technique	Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance (m) to relevant exposure)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable)	Does this location represent worst- case exposure?
CM1	Linlithgow High St 2	Roadside	300426	677172	PM ₁₀ NO ₂	N	FDMS NO _x analyser	Y(4m)	1.3m	Y
CM2	<u>Broxburn</u> <u>CMC</u>	Roadside	308314	672231	PM ₁₀ NO ₂	Y	FDMS NO _x analyser	Y(3.5m)	2m	Y
СМЗ	Newton <u>CMC</u>	Roadside	309258	677728	PM ₁₀ NO ₂	N	FDMS NO _x analyser	Y(2.0m)	2.4m	Y

Table 2.1 Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites

2.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

The Council continues to maintain its network of 42 diffusion tubes at 20 sites. The monitoring sites represent public exposure and areas of high pollution concentrations at a variety of roadside and urban background locations. There are 2 tubes at 18 sites and 3 tubes co-located with the real time analysers at Linlithgow High Street and Broxburn West Main Street. Diffusion tube data remains valuable and the Council is committed to making it publicly available. The Council has therefore continued to input data on the web based data entry system.

Figure 2.2 Map of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

All monitoring site locations are detailed in Figure 2.1 in Appendix B

ls monitoring Relevant Distance to collocated Exposure? kerb of Does this with a (Y/N with location nearest distance (m) represent Continuous road X OS Grid Y OS Grid **Pollutants** Analyser to relevant (N/A if not worst-case Site Type Monitored applicable) exposure? Site ID Site Name Ref Ref In AQMA? (Y/N)exposure) Υ DT1 Ν Newton Roadside 309223 677711 NO₂ Ν Y(3m) 2m Broxburn DT2 Υ Roadside 308165 672222 NO₂ Υ Ν Y(Facade) 3m WMS Broxburn DT3 Υ Roadside 308426 672233 NO₂ Υ Ν Y(1.5m) 4m EMS Broxburn DT4 Roadside 308314 672231 Υ Υ Υ NO₂ Y(3m) 2m CMC Broxburn DT5 Roadside 672213 Υ Ν Υ 309368 NO_2 Y(4m) 2m E Mains Dedridge Urban DT6 Cedric 666341 Ν Ν 306403 NO₂ Y(4m) 3m Ν Background Rise West DT7 Roadside 301758 663158 NO₂ Ν Ν Y(2m) 2m Υ Calder Whitburn DT8 Roadside 294687 665030 NO₂ Ν Y(Façade) Y Ν 3m Cross Armadale DT9 Roadside 293842 668588 NO₂ Ν Ν Y(2m) 2m Υ Cross Bathgate **DT10** Roadside 297401 668772 NO₂ Ν Y(Façade) Υ Ν 3m S Bridge Bathgate **DT11** Υ Roadside 297467 668734 NO₂ Ν Ν Y(12m) 4m Steelyard

Table 2.2 Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

West Lothian Council

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Is monitoring collocated with a Continuous Analyser (Y/N)	Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance (m) to relevant exposure)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable)	Does this location represent worst-case exposure?
DT12	Bathgate King St	Roadside	297570	668586	NO ₂	N	N	Y(5m)	4m	Y
DT13	Bathgate High St	Urban Background	297656	669298	NO ₂	N	Ν	Y(3m)	10m	Ν
DT14	Linlithgow High St 2	Roadside	300426	677172	NO ₂	N	Y	Y(4m)	1.3m	Y
DT15	Linlithgow H St NW	Roadside	299930	677070	NO ₂	N	N	Y(Façade)	1.4m	Y
DT16	Linlithgow H St SW	Roadside	299911	677052	NO ₂	N	Ν	Y(2m)	2.9m	Y
DT17	Linlithgow H St NE	Roadside	300479	677148	NO ₂	N	N	Y(3.4)	2m	Y
DT18	Linlithgow H St SE	Roadside	300485	677125	NO ₂	N	N	Y(7.5m)	2.2m	Y
DT19	Linlithgow H St N	Roadside	300398	677132	NO ₂	N	Ν	Y(Façade)	2.4m	Y
DT20	Linlithgow H St S	Roadside	300405	677118	NO ₂	N	N	Y(Façade)	3m	Y

2.2 Comparison of Monitoring Results with Air Quality Objectives

The following section considers measured PM_{10} and NO_2 concentrations and compares the monitoring results with the relevant air quality objective.

2.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide

During 2014 the Council monitored NO_2 using three real time automatic analysers. <u>Linlithgow High Street 2</u> has operated in a canyon area at the east end of Linlithgow High Street since 30/10/13. The two other automatic analysers continued to operate at <u>Broxburn East Main Street (CMC)</u> and <u>Newton Main Street</u>.

Automatic Monitoring Data

The annual mean and 1-hour mean NO_2 automatic monitoring data for 2014 and previous years are presented in <u>Tables 2.3</u> and <u>2.4</u>. Trends in the annual mean NO_2 concentrations measured at automatic monitoring sites are illustrated in <u>Figure 2.3</u>. Measured exceedences of National Air Quality Strategy objectives are highlighted in bold.

Data capture at Broxburn and Newton, both being above 90%, was considered good. The Linlithgow High Street 2 NO₂ analyser suffered operational faults which resulted in data capture of 52.5%. This site's data required annualisation.

Measured concentrations are considerably below the annual mean objective level of $40\mu g/m^3$ at Broxburn and Newton. At Linlithgow the measured concentration of $39\mu g/m^3$ is just below the objective level but after annualisation this becomes 32.4 $\mu g/m^3$.

There were no measured exceedences of the 1-hour objective for NO₂.

			Valid Data		A	Annual Mean Concentration μg/m ³			
Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Capture for period of monitoring % ^a	Valid Data Capture 2014 % ^b	2010* ^c	2011* ^c	2012* ^c	2013* ^c	2014 ^c
CM1	Roadside	Ν	52.5	52.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	44.5 (36) ^c	32.4 ^c
CM2	Roadside	Y	90.2	90.2	46 (38)	43 (36)	45 (38)	39	28
CM3	Roadside	N	92.1	92.1	N/A	N/A	32 °	24	21

Table 2.3 Results of Automatic Monitoring of Nitrogen Dioxide: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective

^a i.e. data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year. ^b i.e. data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%).

^c Means should be "annualised" as in Box 3.2 of TG(09), if monitoring was not carried out for the full year.

*Annual mean concentrations for previous years are optional.



Figure 2.3 Trends in Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites

The three automatic sites all show a reduction in NO₂ concentration from the previous year with all remaining below objective levels.

			Valid Data		Number o	of Exceeder	ences of Hourly Mean (200 μg/m ³)			
Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Capture for period of monitoring % ^a	Valid Data Capture 2014 % ^b	2010* ^c	2011* ^c	2012* ^c	2013* ^c	2014 ^c	
CM1	Roadside	Ν	52.5	52.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	0 (101)	0 (118)	
CM2	Roadside	Y	90.2	90.2	0	0	0	0	0	
CM3	Roadside	N	92.1	92.1	N/A	N/A	0 (147)	0 (141)	0	

Table 2.4 Results of Automatic Monitoring for Nitrogen Dioxide: Comparison with 1-hour mean Objective

^a i.e. data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year. ^b i.e. data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%).

^c If the period of valid data is less than 90%, include the 99.8th percentile of hourly means in brackets

*Number of exceedences for previous years are optional.

Diffusion Tube Monitoring Data

The NO₂ diffusion tube monitoring data for 2014 and previous years are presented in <u>Tables 2.5</u> and <u>2.6</u> respectively. At diffusion tube locations where data capture is less than 75% it has been necessary to annualise measured concentrations.

The diffusion tube monitoring results have been adjusted for laboratory bias and where appropriate corrected for distance. Details of bias adjustment factors are explained in <u>Appendix A</u>. Trends in the annual mean NO₂ concentrations measured at roadside diffusion tube sites with historic data are illustrated in <u>Figure 2.4</u>.

				Triplicate	Data Capture 2014 (Number	Data with less than 9 months has	Confirm if data has been distance	Annual mean concentration (Bias Adjustment factor = 0.81)
Site ID	Location	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Collocated Tube	of Months or %)	annualised (Y/N)	corrected (Y/N)	2014 (μg/m ³)
DT1	Newton	Roadside	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	24
DT2	Broxburn WMS	Roadside	Y	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	28
DT3	Broxburn EMS	Roadside	Y	Ν	11	Ν	Ν	26
DT4	Broxburn CMC	Roadside	Y	Triplicate and Co- located	12	Ν	Ν	32
DT5	Broxburn E Mains	Roadside	Y	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	28
DT6	Dedridge Cedric Rise	Urban Background	Ν	Ν	10	Ν	Ν	15
DT7	West Calder	Roadside	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	23
DT8	Whitburn Cross	Roadside	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	24
DT9	Armadale Cross	Roadside	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	26
DT10	Bathgate S Bridge	Roadside	N	Ν	12	Ν	N	18
DT11	Bathgate Steelyard	Roadside	Ν	N	12	Ν	Ν	29

Table 2.5 Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes in 2014

				Triplicate or	Data Capture 2014 (Number	Data with less than 9 months has been	Confirm if data has been distance	Annual mean concentration (Bias Adjustment factor = 0.81)
Site ID	Location	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Collocated Tube	of Months or %)	annualised (Y/N)	corrected (Y/N)	2014 (μg/m³)
DT12	Bathgate King Street	Roadside	Ν	Ν	11	Ν	Ν	31
DT13	Bathgate High St	Urban Background	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	12
DT14	Linlithgow High St 2	Roadside	Ν	Triplicate and Co- located	12	Ν	Ν	33
DT15	Linlithgow H St NW	Roadside	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	30
DT16	Linlithgow H St SW	Roadside	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	35
DT17	Linlithgow H St NE	Roadside	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	25
DT18	Linlithgow H St SE	Roadside	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	31
DT19	Linlithgow H St N	Roadside	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	28
DT20	Linlithgow H St S	Roadside	Ν	Ν	12	Ν	Ν	33

In bold, exceedence of the NO_2 annual mean AQS objective of $40\mu g/m^3$

Underlined, annual mean > $60\mu g/m^3$, indicating a potential exceedence of the NO₂ hourly mean AQS objective

West Lothian Council

^a Means should be "annualised" <u>as in Box 3.2 of TG(09)(http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/technical-guidance/index.html?d=page=38</u>), if full calendar year data capture is less than 75%

^b If an exceedence is measured at a monitoring site not representative of public exposure, NO₂ concentration at the nearest relevant exposure should be estimated based on the "<u>NO₂ fall-off with distance" calculator</u> (<u>http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/tools-monitoring-data/no2-falloff.html</u>)</u>, and results should be discussed in a specific section. The procedure is also explained <u>in Box 2.3 of Technical Guidance</u> <u>LAQM.TG(09)</u> (<u>http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/technical-guidance/index.html?d=page=30</u>).

				Annual mean concentration (adjusted for bias) μg/m ³						
			2010*	2011*	2012*	2013*	2014			
Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?	(Bias Adjustment Factor = 1.08)	(Bias Adjustment Factor = 1.04)	(Bias Adjustment Factor = 1.09)	(Bias Adjustment Factor = 1.02)	(Bias Adjustment Factor = 0.81)			
DT1	Roadside	Ν	33	32	37	30	24			
DT2	Roadside	Y	49	35	39	37	28			
DT3	Roadside	Y	40	36	38	34	26			
DT4	Roadside	Y	47	36 ^b	39 ^b	35 ^b	32			
DT5	Roadside	Y	40	37	39	37	28			
DT6	Urban Background	Ν	21	17	19	18	15			
DT7	Roadside	Ν	32	30	31	32	23			
DT8	Roadside	Ν	49	31	37	31	24			
DT9	Roadside	Ν	37	34	34	34	26			
DT10	Roadside	Ν	N/A	25	25	24	18			
DT11	Roadside	N	N/A	38	38	37	29			
DT12	Roadside	N	42	37	37	38	31			

Table 2.6 Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes (2010 to 2014)

West Lothian Council

				Annual mean concentration (adjusted for bias) μg/m ³					
Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?	2010* (Bias Adjustment Factor = 1.08)	2011* (Bias Adjustment Factor = 1.04)	2012* (Bias Adjustment Factor = 1.09)	2013* (Bias Adjustment Factor = 1.02)	2014 (Bias Adjustment Factor = 0.81)		
DT13	Urban Background	Ν	18	15	15	14	12		
DT14	Roadside	Ν	N/A	N/A	N/A	36 ^{ab}	33		
DT15	Roadside	Ν	N/A	N/A	43 ^b	40	30		
DT16	Roadside	Ν	N/A	N/A	42 ^b	41 ^b	35		
DT17	Roadside	Ν	N/A	N/A	35	33	25		
DT18	Roadside	Ν	N/A	N/A	31 ^b	32 ^b	31		
DT19	Roadside	Ν	N/A	N/A	41 ^a	40	28		
DT20	Roadside	Ν	N/A	N/A	45 ^a	42	33		

*Optional





NO₂ annual mean diffusion tube results at roadside locations over the past 5 years are illustrated in Figure 2.4 above. The general trend shows a decrease in NO₂ concentration from to 2010 to 2014 at most roadside sites.

2.2.2 PM₁₀

During 2014 the Council monitored PM_{10} using three real time automatic analysers. <u>Linlithgow High Street 2</u> has continued to operate in a canyon area at the east end of Linlithgow High Street since 30/10/13. The two other automatic analysers continued to operate at <u>Broxburn East Main Street (CMC)</u> and <u>Newton Main Street</u>.

The measured annual mean and 24-hour mean PM_{10} concentrations for 2014 and previous years are presented in <u>Tables 2.7</u> and <u>2.8</u> respectively.

Table 2.7 Results of Automatic Monitoring of PM₁₀: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective

		Valid DataValidConfirmCapture forDataGravimetriceWithinmonitoringCaptureEquivalentbeAQMA?Period %a2014 %b(Y or NA)	Valid Data	Valid	Confirm	Annual Mean Concentration μg/m ³				
Site ID	Site Type		2010* ^c	2011* ^c	2012* ^c	2013* ^c	2014 ^c			
CM1	Roadside	Ν	99.4	99.4	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	18 ^c	18
CM2	Roadside	Y	80.7	80.7	Y	21	18	16	16	17
CM3	Roadside	N	97.4	97.4	Y	N/A	N/A	14.7 ^c	19	22

^a i.e. data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year. ^b i.e. data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%).

^c Means should be "annualised" as in Box 3.2 of TG(09), if monitoring was not carried out for the full year.

* Optional

			Valid Data			Numbe	er of Exceede	nces of 24-Ho	our Mean (50	μ g/m³)
Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Capture for monitoring Period % ^a	Valid Data Capture 2014 % ^b	Confirm Gravimetric Equivalent	2010* ^c	2011* ^c	2012* ^c	2013* ^c	2014 ^c
CM1	Roadside	Ν	99.4	99.4	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	0 (35)	1
CM2	Roadside	Y	80.7	80.7	Y	4	3	2	0 (33)	2 (29)
CM3	Roadside	Ν	97.4	97.4	Y	N/A	N/A	0 (25)	4	1

Table 2.8 Results of Automatic Monitoring for PM₁₀: Comparison with 24-hour mean Objective

^a i.e. data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year. ^b i.e. data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%). ^c if data capture is less than 90%, include the 90th percentile of 24-hour means in brackets

* Optional



Figure 2.5 Trends in Annual Mean PM₁₀ Concentrations

 PM_{10} annual mean results over the past 5 years are illustrated in Figure 2.5 above. Newton (CM3) showed an increase in measured PM_{10} concentration to $22\mu g/m^3$ which exceeds the AQS objective of $18\mu g/m^3$. Broxburn (CM2) PM_{10} concentration increased from the 2013 level of $16\mu g/m^3$ to $17\mu g/m^3$ while Linlithgow (CM1) remained at the AQS objective of $18\mu g/m^3$.

2.2.3 Sulphur Dioxide

No SO_2 monitoring was undertaken in 2014

2.2.4 Benzene

No Benzene monitoring was undertaken in 2014

2.2.5 Other pollutants monitored

No other pollutant monitoring was undertaken in 2014

2.2.6 Summary of Compliance with AQS Objectives

West Lothian Council has measured concentrations of PM₁₀ above the annual mean objective at a relevant location and **is proceeding with a Detailed Assessment**, for Newton Main Street.

At Linlithgow High Street measured concentrations of PM₁₀ are equal to the AQS objective and with NO₂ concentrations measured close to the AQS objective this **is proceeding with a Detailed Assessment**.

Within the existing Broxburn AQMA measured concentrations of PM_{10} and NO_2 remain below the AQS objectives.

3 Road Traffic Sources

In the absence of road traffic count data this section has been completed based on best available information and local knowledge.

3.1 Narrow Congested Streets with Residential Properties Close to the Kerb

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no new/newly identified congested streets with a flow above 5,000 vehicles per day and residential properties close to the kerb, that have not been adequately considered in previous rounds of Review and Assessment.

3.2 Busy Streets Where People May Spend 1-hour or More Close to Traffic

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no new/newly identified busy streets where people may spend 1 hour or more close to traffic.

3.3 Roads with a High Flow of Buses and/or HGVs.

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no new/newly identified roads with high flows of buses/HDVs.

3.4 Junctions

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no new/newly identified busy junctions/busy roads.

3.5 New Roads Constructed or Proposed Since the Last Round of Review and Assessment

The ongoing construction of the Forth Replacement Crossing will have an impact on vehicle traffic on the local road network, particularly at Newton village and Winchburgh. The main street in Newton is already subject to an ongoing Detailed Assessment for PM_{10} which will report in 2015.

New distributor roads are proposed associated with Core Development and other development areas.

West Lothian Cuncil has assessed new/proposed roads meeting the criteria in Section A.5 of Box 5.3 in TG(09), and concluded that it will not be necessary to proceed to a Detailed Assessment.

3.6 Roads with Significantly Changed Traffic Flows

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no new/newly identified roads with significantly changed traffic flows.

3.7 Bus and Coach Stations

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no relevant bus stations in the Local Authority area.

4 Other Transport Sources

4.1 Airports

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no airports in the Local Authority area.

4.2 Railways (Diesel and Steam Trains)

4.2.1 Stationary Trains

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no locations where diesel or steam trains are regularly stationary for periods of 15 minutes or more, with potential for relevant exposure within 15m.

4.2.2 Moving Trains

The Edinburgh to Glasgow line passes through Linlithgow and there is relevant exposure within 30m at some locations. Screening assessment in line with LAQM technical guidance however identified that the estimated background annual mean NO₂ concentration is not greater than 25 μ g/m³, thus the potential for exceedences of the objectives is limited and there is no requirement to proceed to a Detailed Assessment. This line is now subject to an ongoing electrification programme.

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no locations with a large number of movements of diesel locomotives, and potential long-term relevant exposure within 30m.

4.3 **Ports (Shipping)**

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no ports or shipping that meet the specified criteria within the Local Authority area.

5 Industrial Sources

5.1 Industrial Installations

5.1.1 New or Proposed Installations for which an Air Quality Assessment has been Carried Out

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no new or proposed industrial installations for which planning approval has been granted within its area or nearby in a neighbouring authority.

5.1.2 Existing Installations where Emissions have Increased Substantially or New Relevant Exposure has been Introduced

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no industrial installations with substantially increased emissions or new relevant exposure in their vicinity within its area or nearby in a neighbouring authority.

5.1.3 New or Significantly Changed Installations with No Previous Air Quality Assessment

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no new or proposed industrial installations for which planning approval has been granted within its area or nearby in a neighbouring authority.

5.2 Major Fuel (Petrol) Storage Depots

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no major fuel (petrol) storage depots within the Local Authority area.

5.3 Petrol Stations

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no petrol stations meeting the specified criteria.

5.4 Poultry Farms

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no additional poultry farms meeting the specified criteria. However, the Clapperton Complex Poultry Farm (identified in Section 5.4 of the <u>2009 Update and Screening Assessment</u> still exists. However, the Scottish Government confirmed in 2010 that it did not require a Detailed Assessment to be carried out.

6 Commercial and Domestic Sources

6.1 **Biomass Combustion – Individual Installations**

No new commercial biomass combustion sources were identified. No new areas of domestic fuel burning were identified.

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no known new biomass combustion plant in the Local Authority area.

6.2 Biomass Combustion – Combined Impacts

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no known new biomass combustion plant in the Local Authority area.

6.3 Domestic Solid-Fuel Burning

While small domestic solid fuel burning stoves are becoming more popular there are no areas where this has been identified as relevant.

West Lothian Council confirms that in general there are no areas of significant domestic fuel use in the Local Authority area. However, the Detailed Assessment currently being carried out for Newton village includes a domestic fuel survey to establish whether the PM10 levels are principally or significantly influenced by domestic solid fuel burning.

7 Fugitive or Uncontrolled Sources

Follow the procedure set out in Box E of chapter 5, TG(09). The assessment needs to consider PM_{10} . Only locations not covered by previous rounds of review and assessment (including any with substantial changes), or where there is new relevant exposure, should be covered in this section. In the case of proposed new sources, only consider them if planning approval has been granted.

Please write your assessment below this box, and select one of the green or yellow boxes to highlight the outcome. If there are no sources that may need consideration, please complete the green box below, AND LEAVE IN THE FINISHED REPORT.

DELETE THIS INSTRUCTION BOX BEFORE SUBMITTING THE REPORT.

Development of large scale housing may result in release of fugitive emissions during

construction, but no new long term sources exist.

West Lothian Council confirms that there are no new potential sources of fugitive particulate matter emissions in the Local Authority area.

8 **Conclusions and Proposed Actions**

8.1 **Conclusions from New Monitoring Data**

The measured annual mean NO₂ concentration within the Broxburn AQMA in 2014 remains below the annual mean objective level. Measured concentrations at diffusion tube locations within the AQMA were below the annual mean objective level after bias adjustment. Although Broxburn NO₂ concentration is below objective levels the AQMA will remain due to the planned extensive residential development (CDA) in the Broxburn area. This, plus the large CDA at Winchburgh could significantly alter traffic volumes within the AQMA

There were no measured exceedences of the 1-hour objective for NO_2 at any automatic site.

No exceedences of NO₂ objectives were measured at all other automatic monitoring stations. Furthermore, there were no measured exceedences of the annual mean NO₂ objective at diffusion tube monitoring sites after bias adjustment.

Measured NO₂ concentrations in 2014 were generally lower than in 2013.

Measured PM_{10} concentration at Newton exceeded the annual mean objective. A Detailed Assessment is currently being carried out here. Measured PM_{10} concentration at Broxburn increased to just below the AQO level but it was decided not to revoke the AQMA due to the extensive planned CDA domestic housing development in the area. Linlithgow PM_{10} annual mean remains at the AQO level and this is currently undergoing a Detailed Assessment.

Exceedences of the daily mean PM_{10} objective level were measured all 3 sites but the numbers of measured exceedences were below the levels permitted under the NAQS objective level at each site.

8.2 Conclusions from Assessment of Sources

The West Lothian Local Plan (2009) identifies core development (CDA) areas as the focus of growth beyond 2015. This includes considerable planned residential development with some associated road and rail transport development. Air Quality is an ongoing consideration as CDA development progresses.

8.3 **Proposed Actions**

Detailed Assessments for Linlithgow High Street and Newton will be completed and reviewed regarding possible AQMA declaration.

The Council should continue to monitor both NO_2 and PM_{10} within the Broxburn AQMA and continue the Action Planning process. Broxburn AQMA status will remain due to the extensive CDA domestic housing development progressing in the Broxburn area.

The Council should prepare a Progress Report on monitored pollutant concentrations and progress with the Broxburn Action Plan in 2015.

9 References

- AEA/ENV/R/2504-Issue 1a Diffusion Tubes for Ambient NO₂ Monitoring: Practical Guidance
- 2. 2011 Air Quality Progress Report for West Lothian Council
- 3. 2012 Air Quality Updating and Screening Assessment for West Lothian Council
- 4. 2013 Air Quality Progress Report for West Lothian Council
- 5. 2014 Air Quality Progress Report for West Lothian Council
- 6. Local Air Quality Technical Guidance LAQM.TG(09)
- 7. West Lothian Local Plan 2009

Appendices

Appendix A: QA/QC Data

Appendix B: Maps

Appendix C: Diffusion Tube co-location sites bias calculations

Appendix A: QA/QC Data

Factor from Local Co-location Studies (if available)

Three diffusion tubes have been located adjacent to the inlet of the automatic monitoring stations at 2 roadside sites, West Main Street, Broxburn (CM2) and Linlithgow High Street 2 (CM1). The results from the diffusion tubes were compared to the results over the same periods from the co-located Thermo 42i NOx analysers.

The results were analysed using the Ricardo-AEA spreadsheet to determine precision, accuracy and to calculate a locally derived bias correction factor as detailed in <u>Appendix C</u> (DT4 and DT14).

Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factors

Diffusion tubes were supplied and analysed by ESG Didcot using 50% TEA in acetone. The LAQM national bias database for this analyst calculates a bias adjustment factor for 2014 of 0.81.

Discussion of Choice of Factor to Use

The local bias adjustment factors were calculated for the two co-location sites of Linlithgow and Broxburn. The two sites gave quite different factors of 0.87 and 0.71 therefore the LAQM national bias database average for this analyst of 0.81 was used as being more representative of all sites.

PM Monitoring Adjustment

All PM monitoring data was from FDMS therefore no adjustments were made.

Short-term to Long-term Data Adjustment

Site	Site Type	Annual Mean	Period Mean (01/01/14 to 30/04/14 and 01/10/14 to 31/12/14)	Ratio
Bush Estate	Rural	6.6	7.5	0.880
Eskdalemuir	Rural	2.3	3	0.778
Edinburgh Currie	Suburban	7	7.7	0.909
Peebles	Suburban	6	7.9	0.759
			Average	0.832

Short-Term to Long-Term Monitoring Data Adjustment Linlithgow High St 2 (CM1) NO₂

QA/QC of Automatic Monitoring

The automatic monitoring sites at Linlithgow, Broxburn and Newton were subject to site audits including calibration checks every 6 months. These were carried out by Ricardo-AEA.

Data validation and ratification was carried out by Ricardo-AEA as summarised in the Air Pollution Reports at the end of this Appendix.

Analyser Maintenance and Calibration

Air Monitors Ltd continues to service and provide engineer call-outs to all automatic monitoring sites. Servicing of analysers at all sites is carried out every six months with engineer maintenance visits made as required. Additional regular site visits are made to check analyser operation and site condition and to change analyser filters. All TEOM/FDMS filters are changed before the filters reach 90%. The TEOM head is cleaned at each filter change.

All automatic monitoring stations use an Air Monitors web logger. Auto calibrations are run daily at each site for NO_x analysers. Calibration data is monitored using Envirologger Site Manager.

Data Acquisition, Security and Dissemination

All sites incorporate a web logger allowing data to be viewed, downloaded and reviewed using the associated software, Envirologger Site Manager.

All West Lothian Council automatic monitoring site data can be accessed via the Scottish Government Air Quality website at <u>www.scottishairquality.co.uk</u>. Ricardo-AEA validated historic data is available from this site.

QA/QC of Diffusion Tube Monitoring

- West Lothian Council diffusion tubes were supplied and analysed by ESG Didcot.
- ESG Didcot used 50% v/v Triethanolanine (TEA) in acetone for the absorbant in which grids are dipped then allowed to dry before being inserted into acrylic tubes.
- Harmonisation Practical Guidance procedures are followed by this laboratory.
- West Lothian has compared diffusion tube results with that of an automatic NOx analyser in co-location studies at the Broxburn and Linlithgow roadside sites (see above).
- The bias adjustment factor applied to diffusion tube annual means is 0.81 being the LAQM national bias database average for this analyst.
- The ESG Didcot laboratory participated in the Workplace Analysis Scheme for Proficiency (WASP) and achieved 100% satisfactory for rounds 124 and AR001 to AR004 (January 2014 –November 2014).
- Precision studies for this analyst showed 13 good precision and 9 bad precision results in 2014

West Lothian Council deploys diffusion tubes according to the procedure detailed in guidance document AEA/ENV/R/2504² – Issue 1a. Diffusion tubes are exposed on the 4/5 week cycle specified in the Ricardo-AEA calendar and are stored in a sealed container in a refrigerator until being returned to the laboratory. Travel blank diffusion tubes are carried throughout the deployment and analysis procedure.

West Lothian Council

SEPA has accepted that a national bias correction factor has been used to correct the passive diffusion tube data. The national bias correction factor of 0.80 is lower than the local bias correction factor of 1.02 used in 2013 and therefore may not be representative of local conditions. Therefore this does not mean that there has been a significant reduction in the concentrations of nitrogen dioxide across West Lothian.

Produced by RICARDO-AEA on behalf of the Scottish Government

WEST LOTHIAN BROXBURN 1st January to 31st December 2014

These data have been fully ratified by RICARDO-AEA

POLLUTANT	NO ₂	NO _X	PM ₁₀ +
Maximum hourly mean	136 µg m ⁻³	752 µg m⁻³	200 µg m ⁻³
Maximum daily mean	70 µg m⁻³	303 µg m ⁻³	60 µg m ⁻³
98th percentile of hourly means			52 µg m ⁻³
99.7th percentile of hourly means			69 µg m ⁻³
99.8th percentile of hourly means			71 µg m ⁻³
90th percentile of daily means			29 µg m ⁻³
98.08th percentile of daily means			43 µg m ⁻³
99.2nd percentile of daily means			49 µg m ⁻³
Average	28 µg m ⁻³	83 µg m ⁻³	17 µg m ⁻³
Data capture	90.2 %	90.2 %	80.7 %

+ PM10 instruments:

FDMS using a gravimetric factor of 1 from 1st January 2014

All gaseous pollutant mass units are at 20°C and 1013 mb. Particulate matter concentrations are reported at ambient temperature and pressure. NOv mass units are NOv as NOv up m^{-3}

NO_X mass units are NO_X as $NO_2 \mu g m^{-3}$	
---	--

Pollutant	Air Quality Regulations (2000) and Air Quality (Scotland) Amendment Regulations 2002	Exceedences	Days
Nitrogen Dioxide	Annual mean > 40 µg m⁻³	0	-
Nitrogen Dioxide	Hourly mean > 200 μ g m ⁻³	0	0
PM ₁₀ Particulate Matter (Gravimetric)	Daily mean > 50 μg m ⁻³	2	2
PM ₁₀ Particulate Matter (Gravimetric)	Annual mean > 18 μg m ⁻³	0	-

Note: For a strict comparison against the objectives there must be a data capture of >90% throughout the calendar year

Produced by RICARDO-AEA on behalf of the Scottish Government



West Lothian Broxburn Hourly Mean Data for 1st January to 31st December 2014

Produced by RICARDO-AEA on behalf of the Scottish Government

WEST LOTHIAN LINLITHGOW HIGH ST 2 1st January to 31st December 2014

These data have been fully ratified by RICARDO-AEA

POLLUTANT	NO ₂	NO _X	PM ₁₀ +
Maximum hourly mean	139 µg m ⁻³	961 µg m⁻³	83 µg m⁻³
Maximum daily mean	75 µg m⁻³	326 µg m ⁻³	52 µg m ⁻³
98th percentile of hourly means	97 µg m⁻³	451 µg m⁻³	
99.7th percentile of hourly means	117 µg m ⁻³	647 µg m⁻³	
99.8th percentile of hourly means	118 µg m ⁻³	670 µg m⁻³	
90th percentile of daily means	60 µg m ⁻³	211 µg m⁻³	
98.08th percentile of daily means	71 µg m⁻³	275 µg m⁻³	
99.2nd percentile of daily means	72 µg m⁻³	279 µg m ⁻³	
Average	39 µg m ⁻³	113 µg m⁻³	18 µg m ⁻³
Data capture	52.5 %	52.5 %	99.4 %

+ PM10 instruments:

FDMS using a gravimetric factor of 1

All gaseous pollutant mass units are at 20°C and 1013 mb. Particulate matter concentrations are reported at ambient temperature and pressure.

 NO_X mass units are NO_X as $NO_2 \mu g m^{-3}$

Pollutant	Air Quality Regulations (2000) and Air Quality (Scotland) Amendment Regulations 2002	Exceedences	Days
Nitrogen Dioxide	Annual mean > 40 µg m⁻³	0	-
Nitrogen Dioxide	Hourly mean > 200 μ g m ⁻³	0	0
PM ₁₀ Particulate Matter	Daily mean > 50 µg m⁻³	1	1
(Gravimetric)			
PM ₁₀ Particulate Matter	Annual mean > 18 µg m ⁻³	0	-
(Gravimetric)			

Note: For a strict comparison against the objectives there must be a data capture of >90% throughout the calendar year



West Lothian Linlithgow High St 2 Hourly Mean Data for 1st January to 31st December 2014



Date Created: 13/04/2015

Produced by Ricardo-AEA on behalf of the Scottish Government WEST LOTHIAN NEWTON 1st January to 31st December 2014

These data have been fully ratified by Ricardo-AEA

POLLUTANT	NO ₂	NO _X	PM ₁₀ +
Maximum hourly mean	140 µg m ⁻³	375 µg m ⁻³	215 µg m ⁻³
Maximum daily mean	66 µg m⁻³	169 µg m⁻³	53 µg m⁻³
Average	21 µg m ⁻³	43 µg m ⁻³	22 µg m ⁻³
Data capture	92.1 %	92.1 %	97.4 %

+ PM10 as measured by a FDMS using a gravimetric factor of 1

All gaseous pollutant mass units are at 20°C and 1013 mb. Particulate matter concentrations are reported at ambient temperature and pressure.

 NO_X mass units are NO_X as $NO_2 \mu g m^{-3}$

Pollutant	Air Quality Regulations (2000) and	Exceedences	Days
	Air Quality (Scotland) Amendment Regulations 2002		
Nitrogen Dioxide	Annual mean > 40 μg m ⁻³	0	-
Nitrogen Dioxide	Hourly mean > 200 µg m ⁻³	0	0
PM ₁₀ Particulate Matter	Daily mean > 50 μ g m ⁻³	1	1
(Gravimetric)			
PM ₁₀ Particulate Matter	Annual mean > 18 µg m ⁻³	1	-
(Gravimetric)			

Note: For a strict comparison against the objectives there must be a data capture of >90% throughout the calendar year



Produced by Ricardo-AEA on behalf of the Scottish Government West Lothian Newton Hourly Mean Data for 1st January to 31st December 2014

Date Created: 13/04/2015

Appendix B: Maps

Figure 1.1 Broxburn AQMA Boundary

Figure 2.1 LAQM Monitoring Locations





Appendix C: Diffusion tubes co-location sites bias calculation

Cł	Checking Precision and Accuracy of Triplicate Tubes													
	Diffusion Tubes Measurements										Automatic Method Data Quality Chec			
Period	Start Date dd/mm/yyyy	End Date dd/mm/yyyy	Tube 1 µgm ⁻³	Tube 2 μgm ⁻³	Tube 3 µgm ⁻³	Triplicate Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation (CV)	95% CI of mean		Period Mean	Data Capture (% DC)	Tubes Precision Check	Automatic Monitor Data
1	08/01/2014	05/02/2014	46.4	47.1	38.2	44	4.9	11	12.3		34.7	100	Good	Good
2	05/02/2014	07/03/2014	36.2	39.7	40.9	39	2.4	6	6.1		29.5	99.6	Good	Good
3	07/03/2014	02/04/2014	33.3	34.4	43.5	37	5.6	15	13.9		26.7	100	Good	Good
4	02/04/2014	30/04/2014	38.2	45.7	48.8	44	5.5	12	13.5		28.2	100	Good	Good
5	30/04/2014	28/05/2014	37.8	33.1	41.2	37	4.1	11	10.1		27	100	Good	Good
6	28/05/2014	04/07/2014	38.1	35.4	31.2	35	3.5	10	8.6		28	27.5	Good	or Data Captu
7	04/07/2014	31/07/2014	23.6	39.3	30.7	31	7.9	25	19.5		25	92	Poor Precision	Good
8	31/07/2014	27/08/2014	31.1	37.5	32.2	34	3.4	10	8.5		26	96.9	Good	Good
9	27/08/2014	01/10/2014	43.5	42.2	45.1	44	1.5	3	3.6		30	99.3	Good	Good
10	01/10/2014	29/10/2014	39.9	31.1	40.6	37	5.3	14	13.2		27	81.4	Good	Good
11	29/10/2014	03/12/2014	48.2	49.3	56.7	51	4.6	9	11.5		31.7	99.9	Good	Good
12	03/12/2014	07/01/2015	38.9	36.6	36.9	37	1.3	3	3.1		25.5	98.9	Good	Good
13														
lt is r	ecessary to hav	e results for at	least two ti	ubes in ord	er to calcul	ate the precisi	ion of the meas	surements			Overal	ll survey>	Good precision	Good Overall DC
Site	e Name/ ID:	DT4	4 Broxbi	urn CNC			Precision	11 out of 1	2 periods h	ave a C	V smaller t	han 20%	(Check average	CV & DC from
													Accuracy ca	lculations)
	Accuracy	(with 9	95% con	fidence	interval)		Accuracy	(with 9	95% conf	idence	interval)			
	without pe	riods with C	V larger	than 20	%		WITH ALL	DATA				50%	1	I
	Bias calcula	ated using 1	0 period	s of data	a		Bias calcu	lated using 1	1 periods	s of dat	a	n s 25%	I	Y
	В	ias factor A	0.71	(0.67 - 0).75)			Bias factor A	0.71	(0.67 -	0.76)	Bia		
		Bias B	42%	(34% -	50%)			Bias B	40%	(32% -	49%)	npe 0%		
	Diffusion T	ubes Mean:	40	uam ⁻³			Diffusion 1	ubes Mean:	40	uam ⁻³		L L	Without CV>20%	With all data
	Mean CV	(Precision):	10				Mean CV	(Precision):	11		caution	oisniti Jisniti		
	Autor	natic Mean:	29	µgm ⁻³			Auto	matic Mean:	28	µgm ⁻³		ā _{-50%}		
	Data Cap	ture for perio	ds used:	98%			Data Ca	pture for perio	ods used:	97%				
	Adjusted T	ubes Mean:	29 (2	7 - 30)	µgm ⁻³		Adjusted 1	ubes Mean:	28 (27	- 30)	µgm ⁻³		Jaume Tar	ga, for AEA

Sudifie	rungu, io	
/ersion 04 -	February	2011

										TIU	IT THE ALA	group			
Diffusion Tubes Measurements							5				Automat	tic Method	Data Quality Check		
Period	Start Date dd/mm/yyyy	End Date dd/mm/yyyy	Tube 1 μgm ⁻³	Tube 2 μgm ⁻³	Tube 3 μgm ⁻³	Triplicate Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation (CV)	95% CI of mean		Period Mean	Data Capture (% DC)	Tubes Precision Check	Automatio Monitor Data	
1	08/01/2014	05/02/2014	51.1	59.0	52.6	54	4.2	8	10.4		54.4	100	Good	Good	
2	05/02/2014	07/03/2014	44.6	47.0	50.2	47	2.8	6	7.0		44.5	97.7	Good	Good	
3	07/03/2014	02/04/2014	39.3	41.2	26.1	36	8.2	23	20.4		34.4	100	Poor Precision	Good	
ŀ	02/04/2014	30/04/2014	43.6	43.5	37.4	42	3.6	9	8.8		35.2	69.9	Good	or Data Cap	
5	30/04/2014	28/05/2014	36.3	38.7	38.3	38	1.3	3	3.2				Good		
;	28/05/2014	04/07/2014	32.5	26.3	33.1	31	3.8	12	9.4				Good		
,	04/07/2014	31/07/2014	23.5	24.8	27.8	25	2.2	9	5.5				Good		
3	31/07/2014	27/08/2014	33.9	36.5	37.3	36	1.8	5	4.4				Good		
)	27/08/2014	01/10/2014	36.1	45.3	45.9	42	5.5	13	13.6				Good		
)	01/10/2014	29/10/2014	37.1	43.5	38.7	40	3.3	8	8.3		24	66.2	Good	or Data Cap	
1	29/10/2014	03/12/2014	50.0	52.7	63.0	55	6.9	12	17.0		41.6	99.9	Good	Good	
2	03/12/2014	07/01/2015	47.4	46.3	50.9	48	2.4	5	6.0		34.9	99.6	Good	Good	
3															
s r	necessary to hav	e results for at	east two tu	bes in ord	er to calcul	ate the precisi	on of the meas	surements			Overal	l survey>	Good precision	Good Overall DC	
ite	e Name/ ID:	DT14 Lir	lithgow	High St	eet 2		Precision	11 out of 1	2 periods h	ave a C	V smaller t	han 20%	(Check average) Accuracy ca	CV & DC fron	
	Accuracy	(with 9	5% con	fidence	interval)		Accuracy	(with 9	95% confi	idence	interval)	50%	Acculacy ca		
	Rias calcula	atod using 4	noriode	of data	70			UATA	noriode	of data		<u>n</u>	Ī	т	
		lieu using 4	perious 0.96		14)		Dias Calcu	Bias factor A	0.97	0 74	1.07)	<u>s</u> 25%			
	D	Biog B	170/	(0.00 -)	169/)			Dias lactor A	15%	(0.74 -	25%	B	Ĭ	1	
		Blas B	1770	(-12% -	40%)			Blas B	15%	(-0% -	35%)	월 0%	Without CV>20%	With all data	
	Diffusion T	ubes Mean:	51	µgm'°			Diffusion	ubes Mean:	48	µgm ^{-s}		.u25%			
	Mean CV	(Precision):	8				Mean C \	/ (Precision):	11		caution	iffus			
	Autor	matic Mean:	44	µgm ⁻³			Auto	matic Mean:	42	µgm ⁻³		ā _{-50%}			
	Data Cap	ture for perio	ds used:	99%			Data Ca	pture for perio	ods used:	99%					
	Adjusted T	ubes Mean:	44 (3)	5 - 58)	uam ⁻³		Adjusted 7	Lubos Moan:	12 (26	51)	Ligm ⁻³		Jaumo Tar	aa for l	
	Aujuotou II	aboe mean		00)	μgin		Aujusteu	ubes mean.	42 (30	- 31)	μgin		Jaune ran	ga, 101 AL	