



# *Scottish Air Quality Strategy Review: progress on CAFS and where now?*

## Workshop Sessions

**Campbell Gemmell**

AQSR Workshop, CoSLA  
10 January 2019



# Results?

- Serious, honest assessment of progress made since 2015
- Clarity on what has been learned – works/doesn't/blockages
- Where are we now, where are we headed..and is this satisfactory
- What is needed next
- What does that require
- What actions by whom
- What resources and tools
- Setting progress measures and processes to deliver success

# House Rules

- Main points noted for us to use in shaping process and content
- Listen and challenge respectfully
- Give all members a chance to contribute
- Focus on agreements, solutions and try to simplify
- Capture agreement/disagreement
- Ensure you engage and share what you are prepared to offer hereafter so that we can get the richest set of inputs and QA

# So, today!

- In your groupings –

## Session 1

- 1 Introduce yourselves – what expertise and experience do you bring (try to be brief/focussed but give enough to let members know who and what knowledge is at the table)
- 2 How are we doing? Rate progress and position NOW /10
- 3 Why did you give that score...and...what are the key aspects then of achieving clean air for Scotland
- 4 What has worked/ is working and why is that
- 5 What hasn't worked/ isn't working and why is that

# Today #2

## Session 2 and 3

- 6 In the context of the next 3-5 years, what is needed most urgently to make a real difference to improving air quality
- 7 What is a good position – what would it look and feel like
- 8 To get to good, what 3 things would you want to see done
- 9 What will that take and from whom
- 10 What (eg targets/KPIs) will tell us we have made the necessary progress
  
- 11 So, what next? – what main tasks/questions would you want to give to the Working Groups

# Today #3

## Session 4

- Chairs and scribes stay but members seek a different group, preferably with a mix of expertise.
- Chair/scribe will summarise – assessment of progress, what has been learned good and bad, what is needed now to make a difference and what would members see as top 3 priorities.
- Then ask new members to sense-check this and respond/offer alternative views ... but input in a structured manner

Generally,

- You will have a Chair and a scribe. Record the key scores, main headlines of agreement and disagreement, uncertainties, needs, targets, drivers, outcomes etc.
- Agree who if not the chair/scribe should feed back to the plenary meeting

Questions?

